

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

03/01/2011

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
Susan Waks		MRS Ass	MRS Associates, Inc. and MRS BPO, LLC				
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff Nassau County, N	Y County of Re	sidence of First Listed Defendant	Camden County, NJ			
	quire, SHAFFER & GAIER, LLC, 209 k nfield, NJ 08033, (856) 429-0970, Fax shaffergaier.com	(ings	IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, LAND INVOLVED. nown)	USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Ca Citizen of This State	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place			
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citizen of Another Stat		nd Principal Place			
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	06 06			
IV. NATURE OF SU							
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpaymen	Slander Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Ja60 Other Personal Liability Ja60 Other Personal Liability		Drug 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 420 USC 157 420 USC 157 420 USC 157 420 USC 158 420 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts			
X 1 Original □ 2 !	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened	5 Transferred from another district Litigat Litigat	tion Judgment			
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	"Prohibited Calls"	none Consumer Pi	otection Act				
VII. REQUESTED I		N DEMAND \$ 6,000,000.00	CHECK YES o JURY DEMAN	nly if demanded in complaint: ND: Yes NO			
VIII. RELATED CA	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE						
Explanation: DATE	-11	OF ATTORNEY OF RE	DOCKET NUMBER				

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/07, NJ 1/08)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases. Provide a brief explanation of why the cases are related.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey				
Susan Waks)			
Plaintiff	·)			
V) Civil Action No.			
MRS Associates, Inc. and MRS BPO, LLC) -)			
Defendant)			
SUMMONS	S IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) MRS Associates, Inc. 1930 Olney Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08003				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff ar	LLC est			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date: 03/01/2011				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	•						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at (pla	ce)					
	on (date)		; or					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or usual	place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summo	, who is						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf of	(name of organization)	-				
			on (date)					
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or				
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information is tru	ie.					
Date:								
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
				·····				
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

101 110	
District of New J	ersey
Susan Waks	
Plaintiff)	
v.)	Civil Action No.
MRS Associates, Inc. and MRS BPO, LLC	
Defendant)	
SUMMONS IN A CIV	IL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) MRS BPO, LLC 1930 Olney Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08003	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or er P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must whose name and address are: Michael D. Shaffer, Esquire SHAFFER & GAIER, LLC 209 Kings Highway West Haddonfield, NJ 08033	nployee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	d against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date: 03/01/2011	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if	any)					
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ir	ndividual at (place)					
				on (date)				
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's resi	dence or usual pla	ce of abode with (name)				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual	<i>I</i>)			, who i	s	
	designated by law to	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)		; or			
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted becau	use			; 01	ſ	
	Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and	d \$	for services, for a total of \$		0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:				Server's signature			-	
				server's signature				
		_		Printed name and title			-	
		-		Server's address			-	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

SUSAN WAKS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

CASE NO.

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

vs.

FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PURSUANT TO THE TELEPHONE

CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT,

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

MRS ASSOCIATES, INC. and MRS BPO, LLC.

Defendants.

Jury Trial Demanded

Susan Waks ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated. allege on personal knowledge, investigation of counsel, and on information and belief as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action for statutory damages, injunctive relief and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of MRS Associates, Inc. and MRS BPO, LLC (together "Defendants"), national collection agencies, in negligently and/or willfully placing calls to Plaintiff on her cellular telephone without her prior express consent and not for emergency purposes (sometimes referred to herein as "Prohibited Calls"), in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA").
- 2. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA in an effort to combat the invasion of privacy faced by everyday Americans who received unwanted calls. In relevant part, the TCPA prohibits the use of an automatic telephone dialing system to call any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service absent an emergency purpose or the "prior express consent" of the called party. The Federal Communication Commission, the agency empowered to implement the

TCPA, mandates that "the burden will be on the creditor to show it obtained the necessary prior express consent."

3. Plaintiff is one such victim of Defendants' repeated Prohibited Calls. Worst of all, Plaintiff (and others similarly situated) do not even owe the debt at issue, as the calls are intended for a completely different individual. This is a problem faced by a large number of Americans and is summarized in a recent New York Times posting, entitled "When a Debt Collector Calls for Debt You Don't Owe."²

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 because Plaintiff seeks up to \$1,500 in statutory damages for each of the numerous calls that were placed to her cellular phone in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class, numbering in the tens of thousands, easily exceeds \$5,000,000 and Plaintiff is a citizen of New York and Defendants are citizens of New Jersey.
- 5. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because Defendants are citizens of New Jersey, and residents of Camden County, New Jersey.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen of the State of New York, and resident of the Nassau County. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(10).

¹ In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 23 F.C.C.R. 559 (2008).

² Jennifer Schultz, When a Debt Collector Calls for Debt You Don't Owe, N.Y. Times, Nov. 1, 2010. (Available at, http://bucks.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/11/01/when-a-debt-collector-calls-for-debt-you-dont-owe/)

- 7. Defendant MRS Associates, Inc. was founded in 1991 and is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a national collections agency, whose primary corporate address is in the City of Cherry Hill, County of Camden, State of New Jersey, where Defendant also conducts business. Defendant MRS Associates, Inc.'s primary corporate address is 1930 Olney Ave., Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08003. Defendant MRS Associates, Inc. is owned and operated by Saul Freedman and Jeffrey Freedman, among others. Defendant MRS Associates, Inc. is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(10).
- 8. Defendant MRS BPO, LLC is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a national collections agency, whose primary corporate address is in the City of Cherry Hill, County of Camden, State of New Jersey, where Defendant also conducts business. MRS BPO, LLC's primary corporate address is 1930 Olney Ave., Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08003. Defendant MRS BPO, LLC is managed by Saul Freedman and Jeffrey Freedman, among others. Defendant MRS BPO, LLC is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(10).
- 9. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Complaint, including, to add Defendants' clients who compensate Defendants for making Prohibited Calls.

PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has received numerous Prohibited Calls to her cellular phone in the past six months from the Defendants.
- 11. Plaintiff has incurred charges for these Prohibited Calls. The FCC has made clear that "wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used."

³ In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 23 F.C.C.R. 559 (2008).

- 12. During these Prohibited Calls Defendants used an "automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 13. These calls were for the purpose of collecting debt and were not for emergency purposes as set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 14. Plaintiff did not provide "prior express consent" to receive the Prohibited Calls on her cellular telephone as set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 15. The Prohibited Calls received by Plaintiff were intended for a person other than the Plaintiff.
- 16. These Prohibited Calls placed by Defendants were in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of and all others similarly situated (the "Consent Class"), as follows:

All persons within the United States to whom Defendant(s) has placed a call to said person's cellular telephone (without their prior express consent and not for emergency purposes) through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

18. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "Error Subclass"), as follows:

All persons within the United States to whom Defendant(s) has placed a call to said person's cellular telephone (without their prior express consent and not for emergency purposes) through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, where such person is not the debtor (i.e., not the intended recipient of Defendant's call).

19. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "No-Relation Subclass"), as follows:

All persons within the United States to whom Defendant(s) has placed a call to said person's cellular telephone (without their prior express consent and not for emergency purposes) through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, where such person does not have any debtor-creditor relationship with the Defendants (i.e., the recipient of Defendant's call is a reference, cosigner, family member of the debtor or the like).

Hereafter, the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass are referred to collectively as the "Classes."

- 20. Defendants, their employees and other agents, the Judge to whom this action is assigned and any members of the Judge's staff, and claims for personal injury, wrongful death and/or emotional distress are excluded from the Classes. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the class definitions to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 21. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass, but believes, based on Defendants' market share and

investigation of counsel, that the number is in the tens of thousands, if not substantially higher.

Thus, joinder of all Consent Class, Error Subclass or No-Relation Subclass members is impractical due to the size and relatively small value of each member's claim.

22. Indeed, the Internet is replete with discussions between numerous members of the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass — all desperate to end Defendants' calls. The following is a sampling, evidencing Defendants' egregious violations of the TCPA, the invasion of privacy suffered by members of the Classes, the numerosity of the class, the commonality of the issues and the typicality of Plaintiff as a class representative:

"SO ANNOYING on October 12, 2009 for 301-882-9961 I have had my cell number for over a year now. I have this number and others asking for people that I have no clue who they are. If I tell them I don't know the people they ask who I am. When I won't tell them, they laugh and say, okay Mrs. ---.. AHH!! Then they want to know my address and other info! WISH THEY'D LEAVE ME ALONE!!"

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"Anonymous 06-08-2006, 06:21 AM

MRS Collection Agency has been steadily calling me for months. I have already proven that I am not the same person the are seeking, even though I share the same first and last name. I have checked my credit report to ensure that they are not reporting false info to it. They are not, but continue to call. . . . "

(Available at, http://www.debtconsolidationcare.com/forums/mrs-associates.html)

"Anon on October 20, 2009 for 740-205-6856

They called for an old roommate who has been giving my # out to these stupid companies. They wouldn't state who they were. I called back out of curiosity and got a very rude man who when I asked the name of the company-only stated it was MRS and insisted I tell him who I was. "I want to know who you are!" I said no thanks and hung up. Prolly [sic] collections like everyone else said. I am so annoyed by getting all these collection calls for my old roommate when he never had my cell # linked to any of these people. I wish I could make it stop... I work 3rd shift and these calls during my "sleep" time sucks... I'd turn my phone off but my family has emergencies.. bleh."

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"Josh on December 17, 2009

for 223-987-5001I got an automated call saying it was a call for and to press 1 if I was that person. I pressed one and then someone answered the phone as if I called them. They said their name, MRS Associates and asked how they could help me. Naturally I said I didn't know since they were the one that had called me. Then she had to look something up on the computer and said she was calling about my Bank of America VISA card. I told her I don't have one (because I don't) and she put me on hold for a second while she looked something up on the computer because it was "frozen." She then came back with a birth date that was incorrect and I told her so. Then she wanted the last four digits of my SS# so she could remove me from the list. I sharply declined. She got annoyed at my answer and said the calls would continue because I was being "arrogant" and hung up. I am on the do not call registry and have never been behind on any of my bills so they had the wrong person at best or weren't able to scam me at worst."

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"mark on July 30, 2009 for 888-334-5677 got a call on our business phone ,home phone and cell phone looking for a person i have never heard of. While our business phone is not protected by the DNC list, my cell and home are."

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"Tim Tracy

MRS COLLECTIONS COMPANY called me again for a person who does not live here, has never lived here and is not related to us in any way and then when I try to ask who gave them my number and for their name and address, THEY HANG UP ON ME. I have reported this to their quality assurance department only to receive an automatic out of the office reply. Still NO ONE from MRS COLLECTIONS has contacted me to identify where they keep getting my phone number for these other people and why they do not require their employees to do a reverse number search on SUPERPAGES.COM to verify they are not calling someone not involved in their collections. Also, they need to check the do not call registry as well, because they are in violation of the rules by calling me and asking me for money! This company should fire all their employees and be put out of business by the government as they are incompetent and incapable of doing their work correctly."

(Available at, http://mrs-collections.pissedconsumer.com/mrs-collections-called-again-for-person-not-related-to-nor-living-at-this-address-and-hung-up-on-me-20100701187941.html)

"Anonymous on February 18, 2009 for 223-987-5001 They called my cell phone several times. Same recorded message (made to sound not like a recorded message, some one with a British accent) each time with no reference to anything specific except to call them "about a very important matter that needs my attention". I called back to see who this was and the call would not go through - says invalid number.

I think this is a scam for money not a collection agency because I do not owe anyone money."

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"Bonnie on October 7, 2009 for 301-882-9961 They have been calling nearly daily asking for a person I don't know and have never heard of. How can I get them to stop calling?"

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"Pissed on October 26, 2009 for 202-367-9124

MRS Associates Debt Collectors---They don't even realize it comes up on a Caller ID. Ruthless---Unrelenting. Worse than a Pitbull and don't care That they have a wrong telephone number. They just continually harrass all hours and don't believe you when you tell them it's the WRONG NUMBER!!!!!!!."

(Available at, http://www.callferret.com/mrs-associates.html)

"John Everhart

"I have had 2 phone calls this week from this MRS Collections, asking for a Shawn Cooper. I explained, the first time, that I have had this phone # for 10 years & my name is not Shawn Cooper nor do I know how to contact him & he has never lived here or had this phone # . The second call came on Sat. morning Aug.22 @ 9a I had just gotten home @ 6a & been asleep for an hour & a half, now can't get back to sleep . These people need to be stopped from harassing the wrong people."

(Available at, http://www.complaintsboard.com/complaints/mrs-collections-c245039.html)

- 23. The members of the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass share well defined, nearly identical, questions of law and fact, which predominate over questions that may affect individual members of the Classes. These common questions of law and fact include:
 - a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants have placed any calls (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service.

- b. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants have placed any calls to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service (without their prior express consent and not for emergency purposes) through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, where such person is not the debtor (i.e., not the intended recipient of Defendants' calls).
- c. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants have placed any calls to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service (without their prior express consent and not for emergency purposes) through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, where such person does not have any debtor-creditor relationship with the Defendants or the Defendants' clients (i.e., the recipient of Defendants' call is a reference, co-signer, family member of the debtor or the like).
- d. Whether Defendants can meet their burden of proving that they had obtained prior express consent for such calls to members of the Classes.
- e. Whether Defendants should be enjoined from placing such calls in the future.
- 24. The Class is ascertainable and can be identified through Defendants' records.
- 25. Plaintiff and members of the Classes are entitled to statutory damages as provided for under the TCPA.
- 26. As a person that received numerous calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice: (1) without Plaintiff's prior express consent; (2) as a person who is not even the intended recipient of Defendants' calls; and (3) as a person who does not have a debtor-creditor relationship with Defendants or Defendants' clients, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass.

- 27. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Classes.
- 28. Plaintiff and the members of the Classes have all suffered harm as a result of the Defendants' unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, members of the Classes will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendants will likely continue such illegal conduct. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendants to comply with federal law.
- 29. Because of the size of the individual class member's claims, few, if any, class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 30. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the TCPA.
- 31. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 32. Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the Classes and evidence of Defendants' TCPA violations can be determined on a class-wide basis.

FIRST CLAIM

(NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA)

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 34. The forgoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the abovecited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

- 35. As a result of Defendants' negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and each of the members of the Classes are entitled to statutory damages of \$500 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 36. Plaintiff and the members of the Classes are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CLAIM

(KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 38. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 39. As a result of Defendants' knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and each of the members of the Classes are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 40. Plaintiff and the members of the Classes are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 41. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and the members of the Classes the following relief against Defendants:
 - a. An Order, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(c) and (g), certifying the proposed Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass (defined herein)

and appointing Plaintiff's undersigned counsel of record to represent the Consent Class, Error Subclass and No-Relation Subclass.

- b. An Order issuing an injunction, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), enjoining Defendants from placing any further Prohibited Calls to members of the Classes and complying with the TCPA.
- c. As a result of Defendants' negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for herself and each member of the Classes \$500 in statutory damages, for each and every violation (each Prohibited Call), pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- d. As a result of Defendants' willful and/or knowing violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for herself and each member of the Classes treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500 for each and every violation (each Prohibited Call), pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- e. An award of attorneys' fees and costs to counsel.
- f. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

42. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims.

SHAFFER & GAIRR, LLC

Dated:

By:

MICHAEL D. SHAFFER, ESQUIRE

Supreme Court No. 60191 209 Kings Highway West Haddonfield, NJ 08033

(856) 429-0970 telephone (856) 429-5010 facsimile

mshaffer@shaffergaier.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Susan Waks and the Proposed Classes